| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/596,254 | JABBOUR ET A | L |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Thomas Price | 3643 | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>newly filed amendment</u> | | | |
| (1) Thomas Price. | (3) | | |
| (2) Catherine Eckenswiller. | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 14 April 2010 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic | ant's representative) | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/s | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: discussed making changes to the claims in order to place the application in better condition for allowance. | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Applicant/Applicant's Personantul | ing Signature if | oppositate) |

Applicant(s)